

2001-51

>>> "Srini" <srini_srinivasa@comcast.net> 08/12/03 09:14AM >>>

Dear Sir/Madam,

Subject: Michigan Supreme Court is considering changes to the state's rules of evidence that would allow prosecutors to include other acts of violence when presenting domestic violence court cases.

I am all for it ! Under one condition -

If a plaintiff makes a false allegation of domestic abuse or violence, he/she will be charged with criminal fraud and sent to jail and monetary damages paid to the defendant.

False allegations of abuse are rampant in divorce cases across the country. To simply ignore that fact and change laws based on hearsay from women's organizations will only make things even worse.

There are numerous studies that have shown that women abuse men as much or more, than the other way. It is time for the Supreme Court of Michigan to wake up and hear the other side.

Also, please make it clear to Judges that the plaintiff has to present evidence and not hold the defendant in a position of "guilty until proven innocent".

The problem is exacerbated by prejudiced quacks such as Dr. Ludolph (custody evaluator in Washtenaw County) who testified that if a woman rips a shirt off of a man's body it is not domestic violence. "Hurting a piece of clothe is not hurting someone" ! What a fraud ! It is even a bigger fraud when FOC referee loses/destroys that tape containing that evidence !

The pendulam has swung far enough already and it is time for Michigan Supreme Court to balance anything it does.

Regards,
Srini